| IN | THE | UNITED | SI | CATES | DIST | CRIC | CT | COURT | FOR | THE |
|----|-----|--------|----|-------|------|------|----|---------|-----|-----|
| | | WESTER | RN | DISTE | RICT | OF | OF | KLAHOMA | Ą | |

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

-vs
JERRY DRAKE VARNELL,

Defendant.

)

Defendant.

* * * * * * *

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

HAD ON FEBRUARY 12, 2019,

BEFORE THE HONORABLE TIMOTHY D. DEGIUSTI

U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE, PRESIDING

* * * * * * *

OPENING STATEMENT OF THE GOVERNMENT

Proceedings recorded by mechanical stenography; transcript produced by computer-aided transcription.

APPEARANCES

ON BEHALF OF THE GOVERNMENT:

Mr. Matt Dillon Assistant United States Attorney U.S. Attorney's Office 210 West Park Avenue Suite 400 Oklahoma City, Oklahoma 73102

Mr. Mark R. Stoneman Assistant United States Attorney U.S. Attorney's Office 210 West Park Avenue Suite 400 Oklahoma City, Oklahoma 73102

ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANT:

Ms. Marna S. Franklin Franklin Law Firm 620 N. Robinson Ave. Suite 203 Oklahoma City, Oklahoma 73102

Ms. Vicki Z. Behenna MULINIX GOERKE & MEYER 210 Park Avenue 3030 Oklahoma Tower Oklahoma City, Oklahoma 73102

Ms. Laura K. Deskin Attorney at Law 400 North Walker Suite 230 Oklahoma City, Oklahoma 73102

2.3

PROCEEDINGS

(The following is an excerpt of the proceedings had on February 12, 2019, containing only the Government's opening statement:)

MR. DILLON: Thank you, your Honor.

Good afternoon. What happened in Oklahoma City was not an attack on America, it was a retaliation. Retaliation against the freedoms that have been taken away from the American people.

It was a wake-up call to both the government and the people, an act done to show the government what the people thinks of his actions. It is also a call to arms to show that there are still fighters among the American people. The time for revolution is now. Jerry Drake Varnell.

On Friday evening of August 11, 2017, at approximately 8:00 p.m., as most people have finished eating their dinner, the defendant, Jerry Drake Varnell, and Mark Williams were busy loading hundreds of pounds of ANFO, which is anhydrous — or ammonium nitrate fuel oil into tubs.

The defendant assisted in loading a thousand pounds in these tubs into the back of a van. The goal was starting to be clear. The goal was starting to be reached by Mr. Varnell.

After the fuel oil was loaded, it was wired and set to a time-power unit. At approximately 9:00 p.m., as many people are getting ready for bed, the defendant, on the other hand,

2.3

begins taking dry runs to downtown Oklahoma City from Elk City with Mark Williams to make sure that he knew the route he was going to take, to make sure that he knew how to get to BancFirst, to make sure there wasn't any roadblocks, anything that could distract him.

At approximately 12:07 on August 12th, just after midnight, the defendant leaves the Elk City storage building again. Mark Williams is following him in a truck, in case anybody tries to stop him, that he could be a distraction. He would also be a getaway driver.

The defendant drove this van with this thousand-pound bomb all the way, as the dry run showed, to downtown Oklahoma City. The plan was to park it in the loading dock and down an alley for the BancFirst building.

Once he gets there, he has a problem. There is actually a car blocking the alley. But the defendant would not be dissuaded as he circled the block multiple times and waited for his opportunity to pull in to BancFirst.

While he is driving around, he arms the time-power unit. This is what would power and eventually detonate this explosive device per instructions that he was given. He wraps the wires around the terminals. He turns the phone on. He places the key in. A green light activates. He knows it's ready to go. And he pulls into the alley and he parks at the loading dock, which is tucked somewhat underneath the

building.

2.3

Now that everything is armed and everything is ready to go, he comes out from the alley and he jumps in the truck with Mark Williams and they drive away. They drive a few miles to a safe location where they can view downtown.

The defendant, not wanting to take a chance that his phone could be traced, asked to use Mark Williams' burner, a cell phone that he didn't think would trace back at least to him. And he dials the number that was given to him to detonate the device.

There is a problem. Nothing happens. Again, not to be dissuaded, he dials a second time. Still nothing. At night, when he expects to see a thousand-pound explosion in downtown Oklahoma City, nothing happens.

He dials a third time. This time something does happen, but it's not what he expects. FBI agents and other law enforcement officers surround the truck and arrest the defendant and Mark Williams.

As the defendant has said, this was an attack on the government, a retaliation, a wake-up call. He wanted to show people that the time for revolution was now. And while the defendant knew his plan, he knew his target, and he knew his message, what he didn't know that night was that the person that was with him, Mark Williams, was actually an undercover FBI agent.

What he also didn't know was that someone he had known since 2015, someone he confided in, someone that he thought was like-minded, and someone that he had been speaking with about doing exactly this thing, what he did not know that night was that Brent Elisens had actually come forward to FBI back in January and told them of Mr. Varnell's plan and his desires to blow up a building. Brent Elisens told FBI that he didn't want that kind of violence on his conscience. He had to come forward.

As FBI would later discover, for 10 to 11 months prior to the government even knowing the name Jerry Drake Varnell, the defendant sent messages and made posts on Facebook. The messages described how he liked bombs, that they were cheaper than bullets. He believed that bombs were efficient.

He bragged about his chemistry background. He described how he could make bombs. And to quote him: "One cup of gasoline, half a cup of powdered chlorine will incapacitate an entire room. In fact, I've learned enough chemistry over the years to make a gas bomb out of anything. And, yeah, brake fluid is pretty nasty. You can make bombs with them."

These are posted messages that he is sending in 2016.

But how do we get from the defendant attempting to detonate a weapon of mass destruction three times -- how do we get there from some online messaging? This didn't happen overnight, but it progressed guickly, nonetheless.

2.3

The defendant met Brent Elisens in 2015. They shared a common interest. Computers. They began speaking about computers, computer programming. They talked about development of mobile applications and other ways that they could put their computer skills to use.

Over the next year they continued to talk. These were online conversations. The two had not actually met in person. They talked about ways to make money, but they also talked with each other as normal friends would.

They would make jokes, sometimes at the other person's expense. They would talk about other things going on in their lives. And they were talking about the world in which they lived.

The defendant had met other people online, some of which were through Brent Elisens, and he thought they also had some common ideas together. The defendant and Mr. Elisens, these like-minded people, began chatting more online.

They would utilize Facebook and get into group messages. They would talk about how they could make the world a better place. They shared the view that things would never change without some help.

And by September of 2016, this group, including the defendant, had begun discussing possibly moving to Missouri, getting a piece of land up there, and starting a farm or commune-type place.

2.3

This would be a place where these individuals all possessed different skills that would help this new community. It would be a place without capitalism. People could barter for goods and services.

The defendant and the others discussed how to share their ideas. They wanted a purpose that others could get behind.

They even planned to have a meeting in Norman in October of 2016 to discuss some of this, some of these members even driving from out of state.

As can often happen though with a group with so many different ideas, the group began to splinter. Some started to think that people in this group were seeing this opportunity instead as a way to make money as opposed to getting away from the capitalistic ideas that they had opposed. Others didn't think that people in the group were really serious about this intention of starting over.

They also began to be suspicious about if the government could monitor their communications, these messages that they had been sharing back and forth. Some thought just the idea of being not antigovernment necessarily but antiestablishment, that that would draw attention and possibly lead to bad consequences. So they decide that they want to look at encrypted applications and other secure communications.

They also decide that if there is anything important or bad to talk about, that they should probably even do that in

person.

2.3

The defendant and Mr. Elisens started using a mobile application called TextLock. TextLock enabled a user to enter a message into this application. They would also enter a pass phrase, hit encrypt, and it would come up with an unintelligible string of letters, numbers, characters. That person would then just copy that message and then send it to somebody else via just a regular text message, Facebook Messenger, some other communication method.

The person on the receiving end, as long as they knew the pass phrase, they would reverse this process. They would copy this encrypted message, they would put it back into TextLock, type the pass phrase, hit decrypt, and then the message would come through.

The defendant and Mr. Elisens actually became quite fond of this application. They started using it more and more.

And they actually pulled away from the group as a whole and they were communicating more in a message forum just between the two of them.

Mr. Elisens continued to discuss a survivalist-type idea to get out of society, to get back to a land. He just wanted to get away from everything that was going on right then. But in the middle of October, he decided that he actually wanted to leave soon, sooner than the others had originally been thinking.

2.3

2.4

There was a meeting scheduled for October 23rd of 2016, as we previously said. They wanted to discuss their ideas. The entire group were going to drive in for this and meet in Norman.

The defendant wasn't going to be able to attend that day, but he had asked that some of his thoughts be shared. He had taken the time to -- according to him, to read, and he put some of his thoughts down in writing, first in messages.

And what he told Mr. Elisens: "If Hillary Clinton won the election, there would be war." To be clear, Mr. Varnell was not a van of President Trump either. He simply weighed his options and believed one was the lesser of two evils. He thought President Trump winning would weaken the government but that if Hillary Clinton won, there would be war.

Mr. Elisens told Mr. Varnell that he was going to farm status. He didn't want to be around for this, meaning he was not going to go to Missouri. He is going to go off grid. He is going to not have — take any money with him, no amenities. He is leaving everything behind. He asked the defendant to go ahead and delete his messages since he was going to take off.

Mr. Varnell responded though, very specifically and swiftly, and he said that he was, quote, out for blood and, quote, when militias start to get formed, he was going after government officials when he had a team.

The defendant told Mr. Elisens via an encrypted message

2.3

2.4

that he, quote, finally had the chance to -- I'm sorry -- he finally had the chance to do something about how things are.

He planned to do as much as he could.

The defendant stated that he had been reading about the best places to find stuff to make bombs. The defendant also said that he believed that the farm idea was naive.

The next day the defendant shared these thoughts that he had put onto paper by e-mailing Mr. Elisens. He described his belief that the country was a powder keg and that there would be a backlash either by the government or the people.

He believed there would be a Civil War before any foreign country would invade the United States. The defendant, however, believed that people were hungry for change.

He told Mr. Elisens that he believed the Russians — their attempt to influence the election was really nothing more than a way to accomplish their goal. They wouldn't have to invade because the reason to invade would be done away with when the people rose up and destroyed the government themselves.

The defendant told Mr. Elisens that he believed that they were long overdue for a Civil War. Mr. Elisens also told the defendant -- or I'm sorry -- Mr. Elisens told the defendant that he wanted to live in peace and in the blue and green of the outdoors.

He didn't want this talk of Civil War. He didn't want to

be part of it. He knew he also believed that it was very 1 2 possible that it would happen, but he wanted to be off on his 3 own when this happened. 4 Mr. Varnell responded, though, and said that if things go 5 down and you, Mr. Elisens -- if you go live in your own world, 6 I will have no respect for you. 7 Mr. Varnell then sent Mr. Elisens an encrypted message 8 stating that "When I'm able, I'm going to do some Tyler Durden 9 shit. The government is going to fucking burn with those who 10 stand with it." 11 For anyone who doesn't know Tyler Durden, he is the 12 protagonist in a book and a movie called Fight Club. In this 13 story, the narrator of the story, he is bored with his life 14 and he doesn't like the idea that he is having to conform to 15 social norms, to buy what other people tell him to buy. 16 And this evolves to the point that he meets his own altar 17 eqo. He meets his own split personality. And they begin an underground fight club. But eventually this fight club morphs 18 19 into an anarchist-type club --20 MS. FRANKLIN: Your Honor, may we approach? 21 THE COURT: You may. 22 (The following proceedings were had at the bench outside 2.3 the hearing of the jury:) 24 MS. FRANKLIN: Your Honor, I don't know where the 25 government is going with this opening statement, but we have

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

not been provided with any discovery regarding a summary of Fight Club, the presentation that Fight Club is going to be presented to this jury. He is basically describing a movie that's not evidence in this case, and I would object. MR. DILLON: It's in the footnote of the original complaint affidavit, I believe, and the search warrant affidavit. MS. FRANKLIN: The fact that a movie was referenced in an affidavit does not give a factual basis to say what the protagonist is, what the whole history of the movie was, what happened in the movie. MR. DILLON: It was also testified to at grand jury by Agent Larsen, which has been turned over. THE COURT: Here is the real question. The real question is: Are you intending to put on some evidence during your case-in-chief that's going to explain your references to this -- to this movie? Anything you say in opening statement is going to, for the most part, with very few exceptions, be backed up by something you are going to present during the evidence of the case. So that's the question. MR. DILLON: Much like we're doing here to explain what is meant when he says, "I will do some Tyler Durden

shit," we will present and go through Agent Larsen, Brent

Elisens, or both, what Tyler Durden is and what that means.

What was meant by that reference? 1 2 MR. DILLON: Yes. THE COURT: Well, in that case, the objection is 3 4 overruled. 5 And just let me generally caution all counsel. Let's 6 make sure that the remainder of your opening statement is tied 7 to something you are going to present in evidence. 8 You do the same. 9 And let's avoid objections that slow down the case when 10 clearly there is reference in the documents -- I mean, I have 11 seen it, I have read about it -- to this notion of Fight Club 12 and the reference to this individual. And I think it's fair 13 for counsel to explain it as long as he's going to have a 14 witness who is going to say something about it during 15 testimony. 16 So the objection is overruled. 17 Anything else, Counsel? MR. DILLON: Yes, your Honor. I apologize. I meant 18 19 to bring it up before we began our opening, but while we are 20 here the government would invoke the rule. 21 THE COURT: I usually do that after opening 22 statement. 2.3 MR. DILLON: Because we are going into such detail, 24 part of our issue is that they have listed his mother and 25 father as potential witnesses, who are in the courtroom.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

We would also ask for a specific admonishment, because I believe at least one of the sisters is in here, that they understand they can't go repeat to mom and dad what they hear. That goes for the defendant and for any other people in here. THE COURT: Okay. Well, I mean, like I said, I typically invoke the rule after opening statement, but I'm happy to do it now. I am not going to single out any particular people. I am just going to invoke it the way I normally do and give the instruction I normally give. MS. FRANKLIN: Your Honor, regarding Mrs. Varnell, offer to the Court that there is -- we would request an exception be given to her based on a number of factors. First of all, we have -- there is a guardianship in place due to Mr. Varnell's mental status, that she is a legal quardian of him. And I think the fact that just because she is a witness -- Mr. Varnell here is a younger defendant. This is a very serious case. And I believe having the moral support of someone such as his mother is very important to him. Regardless of the fact that she would be the best evidence of his background and characteristics, the fact that she is a witness, I think, would ultimately deprive him of having the support system that he needs in the courtroom.

Also, given the fact of his mental condition, I think it

```
would be a comfort of him to know that she was here and able
 1
 2
     to see what was going on as his legal guardian.
 3
               THE COURT: Are you going to call her as a witness?
 4
               MS. FRANKLIN: It is our intent to call her as a
 5
     witness, but --
 6
               MS. BEHENNA: Your Honor, if I can just follow up on
 7
     that.
 8
               THE COURT: Go ahead.
 9
               MS. BEHENNA: It's not like she is presenting facts.
10
     It's not like she knows anything about this. She is providing
11
     background to the jury about who he is, the fact that there is
12
     a quardianship.
13
          So it's more historical information. It's not a typical
14
     fact witness that normally the rule of sequestration is
15
     invoked for.
16
               THE COURT: Now, you said a minute ago that she is
17
    the quardian?
18
               MS. FRANKLIN: There is a legal guardianship. And
19
     it's my Exhibit No. 100, your Honor.
20
               THE COURT: Is it of the person and of his property
21
     or --
22
               MS. FRANKLIN: It's of Mr. Varnell.
2.3
               THE COURT: Okay.
24
               MR. DILLON: Your Honor, if we could -- I know of no
25
     exception for parent or quardian.
```

1 THE COURT: Right. 2 MR. DILLON: She has made public statements refuting 3 statements that were in the affidavit by the source, making 4 statements of what occurred during the investigation that she 5 says she witnessed and that her husband witnessed. 6 There is -- the defendant has been found competent. 7 have not raised an insanity issue. This talk about because of 8 his mental condition, I truly know of no evidence that says 9 that he needs his mother's support more than any other 10 defendant. 11 THE COURT: Is -- do you all have -- on your defense 12 side, do you have any objection to invoking the rule right 13 now? 14 MS. FRANKLIN: I would request that it remain not 15 invoked until after the conclusion of opening statements. 16 THE COURT: Okay. So here is what I'm going to do. 17 I am going to follow my usual practice. I am going to invoke the rule after opening statements. 18 19 I have concluded the rule is going to apply to 20 Mrs. Varnell. There is -- unless you establish more of a 21 necessity for her to be in the courtroom -- you know, if you 22 can do that, I will listen to it. But as of right now, it's 2.3 going to apply to her. Okay? 2.4 All right. Thank you. 25 (The following proceedings were had in open court:)

Government, please proceed. 1 THE COURT: 2 MR. DILLON: Thank you. 3 The conclusion of Fight Club ends where the protagonist, 4 Tyler Durden, has set explosives, homemade explosives, in a 5 van below bank buildings and other financial institutions to 6 reset everybody's count -- their debit count to zero, to start 7 over. 8 So when the defendant says, "I am going to do some Tyler 9 Durden shit, " you will hear testimony of what that meant, what 10 Tyler Durden is and is known to be. 11 The defendant tells Mr. Elisens also that he's had --12 he's learned enough chemistry over the years that he could 13 make a gas bomb out of anything and that when -- that he will 14 hit up Walmart when -- and he writes -- SHTF, which you will 15 hear means "when shit hits the fan," and that he is going to 16 be in the pool section first. 17 About a week later, on October 29th of 2016, Mr. Varnell 18 tells Mr. Elisens that he believes that he has just found a, 19 quote, like-minded quy. Mr. Elisens asks if he means 20 like-minded like survival or team or both. Mr. Varnell responds "team." Mr. Elisens will tell you that he took that 21 22 to mean somebody that could help him on this course of 2.3 destruction. 24 Over the next few days, Mr. Varnell tells Mr. Elisens 25 that he would like to hack an emergency broadcast system and

2.3

send out that message that he had written. He believed that they could be, quote, the new Anonymous attack. Anonymous is just a non-centralized group of hackers that are known for adopting Guy Fawkes masks. He was an individual who attempted to blow up Parliament back on November 5th of 1605.

And this becomes relevant, as you will hear, because the defendant tells Mr. Elisens also that if they could pull this off on November 5th, they would be legends.

On November 8th of 2016, Mr. Varnell messages Mr. Elisens again and tells him that he has forgotten the TextLock password and that he wanted to have a decent conversation with him. Mr. Elisens gives him some hints of what those passwords are and Mr. Varnell figures it out and he sends an encrypted message.

He says: "I am fucking done with this Anonymous shit. need a team. IDC" -- meaning I don't care -- "what happens with this election. It's time to bomb some fucking banks."

Mr. Elisens tells him it's time for him to just go get off the grid. Mr. Varnell says that he is not going to run away but he is going to take action.

The defendant sends another encrypted message that says: "I need a team."

Mr. Elisens, again, tries to persuade the defendant that his, quote, first wave -- this first wave is not for them. He asks him to trust him and to leave with him.

The defendant tries to convince Mr. Elisens that the 1 2 people need a sign, even if there is something less serious 3 like an EMP, so there is no casualties. 4 Mr. Elisens, again, asks the defendant, Come with me 5 instead. 6 The next morning Mr. Varnell learns that Hillary Clinton 7 had lost the election. However, undeterred by this, on 8 approximately November 20th, the defendant sends an encrypted 9 message to Mr. Elisens stating: 10 "I think I'm going to go with what the OKC bomber used, 11 diesel and anhydrous ammonia. I might have to make a 12 distillery to process some of this stuff, but it's a solid 13 recipe." 14 Mr. Elisens, still planning on leaving town, tells 15 Mr. Varnell in an encrypted message: "Make sure all the 16 civilians and innocents and children are not in the building." 17 The defendant simply and openly responds: "Well, no 18 shit." 19 Mr. Elisens leaves town on approximately November 27, 20 2016. Over the next few months, Mr. Varnell continues to post 21 about his feelings towards the government and how nothing had 22 changed in the wake of the election. 2.3 In the meantime, Mr. Elisens has actually returned to 24 Oklahoma. Mr. Elisens during this time had actually been on 25 supervised release. He was being supervised, essentially like

a term of probation or parole, somewhere where he has to check 1 in with an officer and has to get permission to do certain 2 things, including leaving the state. 3 4 Mr. Elisens goes to court and is sentenced to a term of 5 incarceration in the county jail. It was after he had been sentenced that, through his attorney, Mr. Elisens decides that 7 he needs to notify somebody about what Mr. Varnell is wanting 8 to do, his desire to bomb a building. At first Mr. Elisens didn't even want to be identified. 10 He simply wanted this information passed to law enforcement 11 anonymously. Eventually, though, Mr. Elisens decides that he 12 will meet in person with agents and tell them what he knows. 13 He wants to prevent the defendant from following through with 14 this plan that he's been aware of. 15 In January of 2017, FBI, for the first time, meet with

6

9

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

Mr. Elisens. This is the first time that Mr. Elisens provides details about Mr. Varnell, his desire to form a team, to bomb a building, and to send a message to the government. This is the first time that there is any government involvement in this case. January of 2017.

Mr. Elisens gives details concerning the text conversations. He tells FBI how him and Mr. Varnell met, the plan for the group to start the farm in Missouri, and Mr. Varnell's more recent plan to bomb a building.

He tells them that Mr. Varnell wants to bomb the Federal

Reserve Building in Washington D.C. Mr. Varnell wanted to do 1 2 something similar to what happened in Oklahoma City. 3 Mr. Elisens tells FBI that he sent a message back to the 4 defendant saying, As long as there's no casualties. He says 5 Mr. Varnell agreed. 6 Mr. Varnell told agents that he had saved screenshots 7 though of some of these messages and that he still had them at 8 home on his computer. 9 Mr. Elisens finished his time in the county jail. And in 10 March of 2017, he begins to re-establish contact with -- I'm 11 sorry -- Mr. Elisens had finished his time and he begins to 12 reinitiate contact with Mr. Varnell. 13 On March 31st, FBI, again, meet with the defendant and 14 his attorney, and they talk more specifically about what 15 information Mr. Elisens had and what he had been told. 16 At that time they're also provided copies of these 17 messages. These messages included the message of "I'm out for 18 blood" and "when militias start getting formed, I'm going 19 after government officials." 20 He shared the one that said, "I'm going to go with what 21 the OKC bomber used." He shared the message that Mr. Varnell 22 had written about his concerns that the country had become a 2.3 powder keg and it was primed for Civil War. 2.4 And there was also a screenshot where Mr. Varnell had 25 sent Mr. Elisens his address. Now, again, these were messages

sent back in the fall, prior to Mr. Elisens coming forward to 1 2 the government. FBI develops a plan that they need to understand if 3 4 Mr. Varnell is serious about this, and they corroborate what 5 Mr. Elisens has told them and does Mr. Varnell still intend to 6 go through with something like this? 7 As part of that plan, Brent Elisens agrees to actually 8 become an informant. He is going to report to FBI, he is 9 going to report on conversations that he has with the 10 defendant. And he is even going to utilize recording devices 11 to help document those conversations. 12 On April 24th, May 10th, and May 17th, they did exactly 13 They met at Mr. Varnell's residence. 14 conversations are recorded. They also continue to have 15 communications online via Facebook, via TextLock. 16 After only three times of seeing Mr. Elisens ever, 17 Mr. Varnell agrees that on June 1st that he's going with 18 Mr. Elisens to go meet who he is introduced to as Mark

After only three times of seeing Mr. Elisens ever,
Mr. Varnell agrees that on June 1st that he's going with
Mr. Elisens to go meet who he is introduced to as Mark
Williams. They also call him "The Professor." He doesn't
know that this is FBI trying to understand what he wants to
do.

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

They go to a restaurant. But after they eat, they decide it's going to be better if we talk in the car. It will be more secure. There won't be people around.

Mr. Varnell told the undercover agent that he had made

2.3

homemade C-4 the last 4th of July and that his mother got mad that he used it. He stated that he followed the 3 Percenter ideology on Facebook. He talked about wanting to bomb data centers, one reason being is that there's less security. If you go to somewhere like NSA, SWAT is going to swoop in on you.

They talk about what it would take for a device to actually function. He also tells the undercover, "I'm a fan of Fight Club. I want to do something similar to that."

This was not the last time that he met with the undercover, as you already learned. The undercover employee,

undercover, as you already learned. The undercover employee Mark Williams, meets again with Mr. Varnell on June 26th.

They communicate online. They text each other. And they agree to go scout target locations.

Mr. Williams had been presented to Mr. Varnell as somebody who had access to explosives and that he could help them accomplish what they were wanting to do if he still wanted to do it.

Mark Williams tells Mr. Varnell, I need you to help pick the target. I need to know what we need to get. And on July 13th of this past year, Mark Williams picks up the defendant at his home and says, Where are we going? The agent had actually thought they were going to be going to Oklahoma City and Dallas. That's what had been previously arranged with Mr. Varnell, sometimes using Mr. Elisens as his intermediary

of where potential targets were.

2.3

Mr. Varnell would discuss things that -- places that had weaknesses to them, places that looked vulnerable. They discussed the IRS building outside of Dallas and a few other locations.

But when he gets in the car with Mark Williams, to his surprise, Mr. Varnell tells them, Let's head to Amarillo.

I've got a place there.

So Mark Williams, knowing that his coverage -- other agents that are going to be monitoring him aren't prepared to all of a sudden head west when they were heading east and heading south, he says, Well, you also mentioned a place in Oklahoma City. Why don't we go check that place out first.

And they head downtown. This is in the middle of the day, about probably 2:00 p.m. They walk around, they look at buildings.

Mr. Varnell is not simply hiding, walking, and waiting for the undercover to do something. He tells him — he tells the undercover, when he sees a college sign above one of the doors of one building, like, I don't want to do that. Those aren't people — I don't want to hurt people. There's college students there.

And that is something that Mr. Varnell had maintained the whole time. I don't want to hurt people. He was help directing what this plan would be. He was -- it was starting

to take shape.

2.3

They come across the BancFirst building. It was something that had been previously mentioned by Mr. Varnell. They check out the alley, they see the loading dock and realize not only is it something that's out of view, they can park something underneath there, it won't be easily seen. Not that it's going to take long.

And then it's decided. Mr. Varnell actually says, "We ought to talk to Brent about this too," and they go meet with him.

On the way back from this trip, the undercover asks
Mr. Varnell, What's the deal? What's your motivation? Why
are you wanting to do this?

He says, I don't think you understand my hatred of the federal government.

Brent Elisens meets with Mr. Varnell a couple more times. There were tasks that each person was supposed to do.

Mr. Varnell was supposed to get some tubs to put the ANFO in. He was also supposed to get a vehicle. He said that he could get a vehicle through some people he knew but that never came to fruition.

He told the undercover that he had found some barrels to use. And Mr. Varnell also wanted to send a message when they did this, partially because he wanted to make sure that some other group, such as ISIS, didn't take claim for his acts.

So part of what he was supposed to do is write a message, and Brent Elisens was going to figure out a way for this to be broadcast on the internet or through the Emergency Broadcast System, some way to get this message out.

The undercover meets with Mr. Varnell one more time.

They talk about finalizing this plan. At no point did

Mr. Varnell seem dissuaded when they spoke with him. In fact,

at least two times at that point he had been told: If you

don't want to go through with this, that's fine. This is your

deal.

Mark Williams tells him, I'm not even from this state.

This is on you. But if you want to, I can help.

So then comes the day. They decided on August 11th. It was a Friday. He believed Mark Williams was driving in from West Virginia. He was utilizing the fact that Mark Williams had a girlfriend here. That was his cover story to have a reason to come to Oklahoma.

But he had gotten materials from his friend in West Virginia who worked at a quarry. He was going to bring a thousand pounds with him, det cord, blasting caps, everything that was needed to do this.

He picked Mr. Varnell up a little after 6:00 p.m. that night and he drove him to a storage building. He asked Mr. Varnell -- after they got out and they unloaded the equipment, he would ask him, Do you know what this is? And he

did.

2.3

He said, Do you know what to do with it? He did. Talked about you stick the boosters down in the ANFO. He said, You just stick it right in there. And this might be scary to some, but it is that simple.

You will hear testimony of exactly how you build this device and how they built it that night. This device had been designed by FBI in a fashion similar to what Mr. Varnell described he wanted to do.

What Mr. Varnell did not know was, to make sure that everything was safe, that these were substitute -- all these items were substituted with inert items. The det cord's not going to blow, the blasting caps aren't going to blow, the boosters aren't going to spark. It's not going to happen. But he doesn't know that as he's doing it.

And before they were picked up, he sent the message that we began with. It was a retaliation. It was a call to arms. That's the message that he wanted to send.

Through no part of this investigation are you going to hear that there was an indication that Mr. Varnell was acting strange, that he was not acting normal. There are no reports that he was off his medication.

In fact, to the contrary, in this trial there's not a claim of insanity. There's not a claim that he's incompetent.

What the evidence will show you is that the defendant had

a desire from as far back as October of 2016 at least to bomb 1 2 a building; that he had a message that he wanted to broadcast. 3 He wanted a revolution, he wanted a Civil War. 4 And the evidence will show you that he followed through 5 with this when he thought he had his team and that he had, 6 with these like-minded people, finally the ability to do it. 7 And the evidence will show you that his intent is clear 8 when he dials that phone three times to make sure that that 9 thousand-pound bomb had gone off on August 12th in downtown 10 Oklahoma City. 11 12 (End of requested excerpt.) 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 2.3 24 25

| 1 | CERTIFICATE OF OFFICIAL REPORTER |
|----|--|
| 2 | I, Christina L. Clark, Federal Official Realtime Court |
| 3 | Reporter, in and for the United States District Court for the |
| 4 | Western District of Oklahoma, do hereby certify that pursuant |
| 5 | to Section 753, Title 28, United States Code that the |
| 6 | foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the |
| 7 | stenographically reported proceedings held in the |
| 8 | above-entitled matter and that the transcript page format is |
| 9 | in conformance with the regulations of the Judicial Conference |
| 10 | of the United States. |
| 11 | |
| 12 | Dated this 14th day of February, 2019. |
| 13 | |
| 14 | s/CHRISTINA L. CLARK |
| 15 | onitioning in the order of the order |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |